



*Erasmus+ KA2 Knowledge Alliances project
“Greening Energy Market and Finance – GrEnFin”*

**WP10 – D10.1. Final report concerning the internal evaluation of the results:
quarterly report based on submitted Partners Actions Reports aimed to
evaluation M27 – M29**





Work Package (WP)	WP10 - PROJECT EVALUATION
WP Leader	WU
Deliverable Title and Number	D10.1. Final report concerning the internal evaluation of the results: Quarterly Report based on submitted Partners Actions Reports aimed to evaluation M27 – M29
Release date	May 2022, M31
Version	Version 3
Dissemination Level	Confidential
Author	WU – Régis Gourdel
Main Contributors	WU
Revised and Approved by	UNIBO, MIW, SPEED

INDEX

1. Summary of deliverables	2
2. Evaluation by deliverables and KPIs	3
2.1. Sixth project meeting (D1.6.7)	3
2.2. Local Workshop for validation with Stakeholders – Murcia Spain - MIWenergia (D1.7).....	3
2.3. Local Workshop with students – Munich, Germany (D1.7).....	4
2.4. Reports on the validation’s activities M24 – M26 (D2.4)	4
2.5. Report on the organization of seminars to reach invited external stakeholders as industries and institutions and to disseminate the projects in the university not involved in the double degree agreements (Birkbeck, Paris Dauphine and WU) (D4.2)	5
2.6. Report concerning the Internal Quality Assurance process – Semiannual Quality Report M20 – M25 (D9.1)	5

Executive Summary

In this report, we provide an evaluation for all deliverables received between M27 and M29. Deliverables are first listed in a recap table and then discussed individually, assessing deliverable-level KPIs as set up within WP10 according to the Project Management Framework.



1. Summary of deliverables

WP	Lead organization (Pn)	Number	End date	Title	Level
1	P(1)	D1.6	M29	Sixth project meeting (part. 1)	Confidential
1	P(13)	D1.7	M27	Local Workshop for Validation with Stakeholders – Murcia Spain - MIWenergia	Confidential
1	P(2)	D1.7	M29	Local Workshop with students – Munich, Germany	Confidential
2	P(1)	D2.4	M29	Reports on the validation's activities M24 – M26	Confidential
4	P(3)	D4.2	M28	Report on the organization of seminars to reach invited external stakeholders as industries and institutions and to disseminate the projects in the university not involved in the double degree agreements (Birkbeck, Paris Dauphine and WU)	Confidential
9	P(1)	D9.1	M27	Report concerning the Internal Quality Assurance process – Semiannual Quality Report M20 – M25	Confidential

2. Evaluation by deliverables and KPIs

2.1. Sixth project meeting (D1.6.7)

This deliverable contains the material relative to the sixth project meeting (part. 1), which took place online on the 10th and 11th of March 2022.

All European partners of the consortium attended the meeting. A detailed evaluation is given in the deliverable D10.1 that is specific to this project meeting. The KPIs given below are extracted from it.

Relevant KPIs:

Number	Title	Result
PI 0.2	Number of questionnaires submitted	17
PI 0.3	Response rate	73%
PI 0.5	Appreciation/ satisfaction rate	100%
PI 0.7	Number of attendants	23
PI 10.2	Final reports drafted at the end of every project meeting	Satisfied

2.2. Local Workshop for validation with Stakeholders – Murcia Spain - MIWenergia (D1.7)

This deliverable reports on the event organised by MIWenergia on December 23rd. It was organised online and in Spanish. The validation event lasted a bit below one hour and featured two topics as well as a Q&A session. The first part of the presentation was on the project in general and the second one on the professional part of the programme more specifically.

Relevant KPIs:

<i>Number</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Result</i>	<i>Observation</i>
PI 0.1	Respect of the deadline declared into the project	Satisfied	
PI 0.2	Number of questionnaires submitted	10	
PI 0.3	Response rate	63%	
PI 0.5	Appreciation/satisfaction rate	100%	Uses a cut-off grade of 3.5 per respondent
PI 0.7	Number of attendants	16	

2.3. Local Workshop with students – Munich, Germany (D1.7)

This deliverable reports on the event organised by LMU on the 24th of March 2022. The event was open to students from both bachelor and master programmes, although almost all attendants belong to the second category. Most of the event was dedicated to presentations of the GrEnFin programmes, featuring testimonies of several students who took part to related classes and programmes.

Relevant KPIs:

<i>Number</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Result</i>	<i>Observation</i>
PI 0.1	Respect of the deadline declared into the project	Satisfied	
PI 0.2	Number of questionnaires submitted	11	
PI 0.3	Response rate	69%	
PI 0.5	Appreciation/satisfaction rate	91%	Uses a cut-off grade of 3.5 per respondent
PI 0.7	Number of attendants	16	

2.4. Reports on the validation’s activities M24 – M26 (D2.4)

This deliverable compiles results from the three validation events that were conducted by industry partners in the last quarter of 2021. The events were organised respectively by Speed, DELAB, and MIWenergia. Evaluation results are discussed, with major inputs from respondents and criticalities identified. One critical

point discussed is the relatively low number of attendants across the board compared to the total number of invites sent (454).

A detailed evaluation of these events can be found in the D10.2 report associated to the evaluation, as well as the evaluations of the three D1.7 reports produced, to be found in this document as well as in the previous D10.1 quarterly evaluation of results.

One general shortcoming in the implementation of the questionnaire is that respondents were not asked which event they attended, such that the precise attribution of respondents to each event is not fully satisfactory.

Relevant KPIs:

<i>Number</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Result</i>	<i>Observation</i>
PI 0.2	Number of questionnaires submitted	25	
PI 0.3	Response rate	60%	
PI 0.7	Number of attendants	42	
PI 2.3	Number of countries covered by the survey	3	
PI 2.4	Number of organisations and project-relevant sectors represented in the survey	25 companies in 2 sectors	From the breakdown given in the report

2.5. Report on the organization of seminars to reach invited external stakeholders as industries and institutions and to disseminate the projects in the university not involved in the double degree agreements (Birkbeck, Paris Dauphine and WU) (D4.2)

This report provides an overall analysis of the seminars organized by the three universities of Birkbeck, Paris Dauphine and WU, with a prospect of dissemination to external stakeholders. A key goal of these events was to collect feedback on different aspects of the GrEnFin project, and in particular with regard to the implementation of the master programme.

This report reviews the rationale behind some initiatives of the project and discusses the results obtained from the events organised, mostly treated in previous reports of WP 4 and 10. The report focuses in particular on the composition of the master programme, with regard to courses that should or should not be included, all based on the feedback of seminar participants.

Relevant KPIs:

<i>Number</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Result</i>
PI 0.2	Respect of the deadline declared into the project	Not satisfied
PI 4.1	Seminars with external stakeholders completed	Satisfied

As this is a meta-analysis based on previous results, some KPIs such as number of attendants are excluded as they would constitute a double counting of information, and they are given in previous deliverables for each of the events covered by this report.

2.6. Report concerning the Internal Quality Assurance process – Semiannual Quality Report M20 – M25 (D9.1)



This deliverable provides the minutes of the quality board meeting concerned with the status of the GrEnFin project, which took place virtually on January 20, 2022. The report covers five topics of discussion: added members to the quality board, the EACEA evaluation, GrEnFin management, testing and piloting activities, and the GrEnFin master in 2022-2023. The discussions are summarized with a reasonable degree of detail.

The report presents some formatting issues already highlighted before: visible typos, being identified as a draft version (in page footer) while supposedly final, or being categorised as having a public level of dissemination, while being in practice confidential.

No KPIs were applicable to the deliverable.

